STATE CAPITOL # ROOM 1145 # SACRAMENTO CA # 95814-4998 # WWW.DOF.CA.GOV

Honorable Anna Caballero Member of the Assembly State Capitol, Room 2117 Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Assemblymember Caballero:

Our office has reviewed AB 696 as introduced. On the basis of our attached analysis of available information, we regret that we must oppose your legislation. If you feel that we may have overlooked factors that may be important in evaluating your legislation, please call me at 445-8610 and we will arrange any assistance necessary.

Sincerely,

MICHAEL COHEN Department Director

Attachment

DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE BILL ANALYSIS

AMENDMENT DATE: 07/03/2017

POSITION: Oppose

BILL NUMBER: AB 696
AUTHOR: Caballero, Anna

BILL SUMMARY: Department of Transportation: Prunedale Bypass: County of Monterey: disposition of excess properties.

This bill directs the California Department of Transportation (Caltrans) to use proceeds from the sale of parcels originally acquired for the Prunedale Bypass project for projects in the State Route 101 corridor.

FISCAL SUMMARY

Caltrans currently owns 112 parcels, totaling 304 acres that would be subject to this bill's provisions. The fair market value of these parcels is between \$5 million and \$12 million. Current law directs the revenue from excess property sales to offset transportation-related debt service costs. Redirecting revenue from the sale of these parcels reduces available transportation funds to offset transportation-related bond debt service, resulting in additional General Fund costs.

Caltrans annually collects approximately \$60 million in miscellaneous revenue that is used to offset transportation debt service. Of this amount, \$15 million to \$20 million is collected from the sale of excess properties statewide.

COMMENTS

The Department of Finance opposes this bill because it results in additional General Fund costs and transfers the burden of paying for transportation debt from a user-based funding source to general purpose revenue without regard to the level of benefit the payer is receiving.

Existing law allows Caltrans to acquire any real property necessary for state highway purposes, and to sell or exchange that property should it be determined that the property in question is no longer necessary for highway projects. Existing law also directs Caltrans to sell or exchange those properties within one year of determining they are no longer necessary, if possible.

Caltrans acquired 140 parcels for the Prunedale Bypass project, which was abandoned and replaced with the smaller-scale Prunedale Improvement Project. The updated plan allowed Caltrans to sell 28 parcels. Caltrans plans to use the 112 remaining parcels for the completion of the Prunedale Improvement Project or for future mitigation needs within the State Route 101 corridor, and has therefore not yet declared the parcels to be excess. If any of the 112 parcels are not used for these needs and later sold as excess or unnecessary, they would be covered by this bill which would direct the proceeds of their sale to highway projects within the State Route 101 corridor.

Revenues from the sale of excess Caltrans properties are not subject to the provisions of Article XIX of the California State Constitution, and are therefore free to be used for any purpose the state may choose. Currently, these revenues are statutorily directed to offset transportation debt service costs that otherwise

Analyst/Principal Date L. Mirante		Program Budget Manager Karen Finn	Date	
Department Deputy Dire Orig. Signed By J.W.H.	ctor 0 6 2017	7-2	Date	
Governor's Office:	Ву:	Date:	Position Approved Position Disapproved	
BILL ANALYSIS RECO: AB 696 - 7/6/2017 14	.00.47.014		Form DF-43 (Rev 03/95 Buff)	

BILL ANALYSIS(CONTINUED) AUTHOR	AMENDMENT DATE	Form DF-43 BILL NUMBER
Caballero, Anna	07/03/2017	AB 696

COMMENTS (continued)

would be fully borne by the General Fund. This bill requires that the sale of any properties related to the Prunedale Improvement Project be retained in the State Highway Account to be spent on future projects in State Route 101 corridor. Doing so would redirect non-Article XIX revenues from transportation debt service costs, and would therefore increase General Fund costs.

Finance notes that the Governor vetoed a substantially similar bill: AB 2730 (Alejo, 2015), noting that "Maintaining this funding stream to the General Fund is even more necessary when the state's budget remains precariously balanced."

	SO	(Fiscal Impact by Fiscal Year)				
Code/Department	LA	(Dollars in Thousands)				
Agency or Revenue	CO	PROP			· ·	Fund
Туре	RV	98	FC	2017-2018 FC	2018-2019 FC	2019-2020 Code
2660/Caltrans	SO	No	С	5,000-12,000 C	C	0001